

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/491,299	01/25/2000	A.J. Paul Carew	066303.0112	8367	
7590 12/27/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Baker 7 Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue			PHUNKULH, BOB A		
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661	-	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

> <u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/491,299	CAREW ET AL.	
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bob A. Phunkulh	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the first of the control of	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:		3 ATM	1—
	1 -	OB PHUNKULH	-
		MARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) the after final amendment is non-compliant since it failed address the status of claims 1-35 (see 37 CFR § 1.212); and (b) Pelletier discloses the called party 304 can also subscribe to a distinctive ring service, which provides distinctive ring cadences for incoming calls processed by the IP server 308, and those coming directly from a calling party without first being processed by the IP server 308 (see col. 6 lines 29-34). Thus, Pelletier discloss the IP server 308 communication the incoming calls based on the distintive rings.